

| | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/804,341 | Applicant(s) WINNER, KURT | |
| | Examiner Hugh B. Thompson II | Art Unit 3634 | |

All Participants:

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(2) Mr. Max Moskowitz.

Date of Interview: 12 April 2006

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 5, 8, 9, 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Beards-541

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson II
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agrees to amend claims 8, 9, and 11 to correct minor antecedent basis ambiguities. Claims 1, 3, and 5, will be amended to recite that the baffle of each web extends along less than half the length of the body, and the remaining length of the body is without a baffle long each web. These changes serve to distinguish over the Beards reference.